

CSE 291: Operating Systems in Datacenters

Amy Ousterhout

Oct. 26, 2023

UC San Diego

Agenda for Today

- Snap overview
- ghOSt discussion





Research on CPU Scheduling

theoretical

practical

(CFS)

Theory

- Prioritization
- First come first served (FCFS)
- Shortest remaining processing time (SRPT)
- Process sharing (PS)
- Etc.

Kernel Bypass Scheduling

- ZygOS (SOSP '17)
- Arachne (OSDI '18)
- Shenango (NSDI '19)
- Shinjuku (NSDI '19)
- Caladan (OSDI '20)
- Scheduling Policies (NSDI '22)

- Improve Linux's Scheduling Linux's Scheduler
- Snap (SOSP '19)
- ghOSt (SOSP '21)
- Syrup (SOSP '21)

Limitations

Assumes known task service times, no overheads, centralized queues Require app changes, don't support many policies or support multitenancy

Worse performance than kernel-bypass approaches

Lots of queueing, slow context switches, load imbalance, interference

Snap

- "Snap: a Microkernel Approach to Host Networking" [SOSP '19]
 - Authors from Google
- Goals:
 - High-performance networking (latency and throughput)
 - Ease of deployment

- different from existing
- Reuse Linux's threads
- kernel-bypass approaches
- Widely deployed within Google (as of 2019)
 - "Snap is deployed to over half of our fleet of machines and supports the needs of numerous teams"

Snap's Approach

- Microkernel-like approach
 - Move network stack to userspace
 - Communicate with apps via shared memory



Kernel approach



Library OS - Shenango, Shinjuku, etc.



Scheduling the Microkernel

• Which core(s) should Snap run on?



MicroQuanta Kernel Scheduling Class

- How do you guarantee low-latency handling of network traffic?
- New MicroQuanta kernel scheduling class
- Each MicroQuanta thread can run for up to *runtime* out of every *period* time units
 - E.g., Snap threads can run for 0.9 ms out of every 1 ms
- Demonstrates the kinds of scheduling challenges that Google faces





Snap Spreads

Snap Compacts

Compacting engines:





ghOSt Discussion